1	BRETT J. WILLIAMSON (SB # 145235)		
2	BRETT J. WILLIAMSON (SB # 145235) (bwilliamson@omm.com) CAMERON W. WESTIN (SB # 290999)		
3	(cwestin@omm.com) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 610 Novement Center Drive 17th Floor		
4	610 Newport Center Drive, 17th Floor Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (949) 823-6900 Facsimile: (949) 823-6904		
5	Facsimile: (949) 823-6904		
6	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae HULU, LLC		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10			
11	Canon, Inc.	Case No. 3:20-mc-80079-JCS	
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND	
13	V.	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF BY AMICUS	
14	TCL Electronics Holdings, Ltd., et al.	CURIAE HULU, LLC	
15	_		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		HULU, LLC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> BRIEF	

CASE NO. 3:20-MC-80079-JCS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Hulu, LLC ("Hulu") hereby moves the Court for leave to submit an *amicus curiae* brief regarding Non-Party Roku, Inc.'s Motion To Modify And/Or Quash Plaintiff Canon Inc.'s Subpoena and For A Protective Order To Prevent Remote Source Code Review (ECF No. 1) (hereinafter "Roku's Motion"). The proposed *amicus curiae* brief is attached as Exhibit A, along with accompanying declarations and exhibits.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Hulu respectfully requests this Court grant leave to file the attached *amicus* curiae brief.

ARGUMENT

I. STANDARD FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

The Ninth Circuit has held that a district court has broad discretion in the appointment of amicus curiae. California v. U.S. Dep't of Labor, No. 2:13-cv-02069-KJM-DAD, 2014 WL 12691095, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2014) (citing Hoptowit v. Ray, 682 F.2d 1237, 1260 (9th Cir. 1982), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 487 (1995)). "District courts frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the amicus has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide." Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Jewell, No. C 13-1749 PSG, 2013 WL 4127790, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2013) (quoting NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream Point Molate, LLC, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005)). "There are no strict prerequisites that must be established prior to qualifying for amicus status; an individual seeking to appear as *amicus* must merely make a showing that his participation is useful to or otherwise desirable to the court." Infineon Techs. N. Am. Corp. v. Mosaid Techs., Inc., No. C 02-5772 JFRS, 2006 WL 3050849, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2006) (quoting *In re Roxford Foods*

Litig., 790 F. Supp. 987, 997 (E.D. Cal. 1991)).

II. STATEMENT OF IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE

Hulu, headquartered in Santa Monica, California, is a provider of both live and on-demand streaming video. As described further in Hulu's proposed *amicus* brief, Hulu's streaming video-on-demand ("SVOD") and Live subscription-based services are available through platforms of numerous device makers, including Roku's devices.

Hulu is not an interested party in the underlying litigation brought by Canon, Inc. ("Canon") in the Eastern District of Texas as *Canon Inc. v. TCL Elecs.*Holdings Ltd., Case No. 2:18-cv-00546-JRG, nor is Hulu's source code the subject of Roku's Motion. As described in Roku's Motion (see ECF No. 1 at 2) and further in Hulu's amicus brief, Hulu asks the Court to exercise its discretion to consider the ramifications of exposing Roku's source code to malicious actors on Hulu's own efforts to secure its streaming content and services, as well as the ramifications of imposing remote review of source code on technology companies that have or will be required to make their own source code available for inspection in litigation. Hulu, by and through its undersigned counsel, seeks leave to submit this amicus brief so that the Court may consider the implications of forcing remote review of source code to Hulu and other technology companies.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, Hulu respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion for Leave to File an *Amicus Curiae* Brief.

1	Dated: May 13, 2020	Respectfully submitted,
2		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3		
4		By /s/Brett J. Williamson
5		Brett J. Williamson (SB # 145235)
6		Brett J. Williamson (SB # 145235) bwilliamson@omm.com Cameron W. Westin (SB # 290999) cwestin@omm.com
7		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
8		610 Newport Center Drive 17th Floor Newport Beach, California, 92660
9		Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (949) 823-6900 Facsimile: (949) 823-6904
10		Attorneys for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Hulu, LLC
11		Hulu, LLC
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		HULU, LLC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION